

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

UNIT II
CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
P.O. BOX 608 STATE PRISON
TEHACHAPI, CA. 93581
IN PRO SE

PRISON NO. 96910

2244	1983
FILING FEE PAID	
Yes	No
MPP MOTION FILED	
Yes	No
COPIES SENT TO	
Court	ProSe

FILED
JUL - 9 2008
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY [initials] DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

'08 CV 1232 J WMc

PLAINTIFF, APPELLE.

v.

CASE NO.
MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
COUNSEL ON CIVIL SUIT.

U.S.C. 1983

DEFENDANT(S)

Comes now the Plaintiff, Appellee, Mario Sudil, in pro se, and pursuant to such laws made and provided, files this, his motion for Appointment of Counsel on Appeal, and states: In support of this motion the Plaintiff, Appellee, does declare that he is indigent and has no visable means of retaining counsel on appeal. Points and authorities: Douglas vs. California (1963) 171 U.S. 353, 9 L. ED. 2d 811; 83 S. CT. 814. Wherefore: Based on the foregoing facts and circumstances, the Plaintiff, Appellee, seeks of this Court the granting of his Motion for Appointment of Counsel on Appeal.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct.

Date this 3 day of July 2008.

Mario Sudil
Plaintiff, Appellee.

